

# The effect of finite primary deformations on harmonic waves in layered elastic media

Graham A. Rogerson and Kevin J. Sandiford

Department of Computer and Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Salford, Salford. M5 4WT. UK.

## Abstract

The problem of extensional wave propagation in a pre-stressed, incompressible, 4-ply symmetric layered structure is considered. The high wave number of the harmonics is shown to fall into one of four distinct cases. Each of these are examined in detail and appropriate asymptotic expansions, giving phase speed as a function of wave number, are obtained. These are shown to provide excellent agreement with the numerical solution. A surface wave front arising from the combined influence of all harmonics is observed numerically. Corresponding plots of the eigenfunctions confirm that this is indeed a surface wave with the behaviour associated with each harmonic remarkably sensitive to changes in wave number. The paper concludes with a comparison of extensional and flexural waves.

## 1 Introduction

Primarily motivated by the increasing industrial application of laminated structures, theoretical study of wave motion and vibration in layered media has been an area of considerable research activity in recent years. In this paper we continue in the spirit of such studies and examine the effects of pre-stress on small amplitude waves in layered media. Although stress is often induced in the manufacturing process, by techniques such as fabrication, the type of scenario we envisage is one in which pre-stress arises through the action of external forces. Problems involving the effect of pre-stress on waves in bounded media was instigated initially in the context of surface waves by Hayes and Rivlin (1961) and Flavin (1963). Additionally, and in the context of single layer plates, the effects of pre-stress have been investigated recently by Ogden and Roxburgh (1993), Rogerson and Fu (1995).

In this paper we specifically investigate the effect of pre-stress on small amplitude extensional waves in symmetric 4-ply incompressible, elastic laminated structures. This work is presented in respect of the most general appropriate strain energy function and as such will both generalise previous work, Rogerson and Sandiford (1996), and offer the corresponding analysis to a previously published work on flexural waves, see Rogerson and Sandiford (1997). The reader is referred to this latter paper for a detailed reference list to waves in pre-stressed media. The motivation is to give some detailed indications of the precise influence of pre-stress on material characteristics.

We begin this paper in section 2 with a brief review of the basic equations and derivation of both the extensional and flexural dispersion relation. In section 3 various numerical solutions of the dispersion relation associated with extensional waves are presented which specifically show phase speed against scaled wave number. It is established that four distinct cases exist which are associated with the moderate and high wave number regions and are classified in terms of material parameters. Additionally a surface wave front is observed in some of these numerical solutions to arise from the cumulative effect of harmonics. In section 4 asymptotic high wave number expansions are derived for each of the four previously mentioned cases and are observed to provide excellent agreement with the numerical solution. It is envisaged that these expansions will aid investigation of impact problems, specifically in estimation of errors incurred in numerical truncation of wave number integrals. In section 5 the surface wave-like behaviour associated with the harmonics is explicated by examining the corresponding in-plane and out-plane eigenfunctions. The harmonics are clearly observed to show classic surface wave behaviour over a specific wave number region and rapidly transform to sinusoidal variation outside this wave number region. The paper is concluded in section 6 with a numerical comparison of the dispersion relations associated with extensional and flexural waves.

## 2 Basic equations and the dispersion relation

In this section we briefly review the basic equations governing small amplitude, time dependent motions superimposed upon a large static primary deformation in respect of small amplitude travelling waves in an incompressible elastic layer. The appropriate dispersion relation associated with both flexural and extensional waves in a 4-ply symmetric laminate is then derived by satisfying continuity conditions across each perfectly bonded interface and utilising boundary conditions of zero incremental traction. For details concerning the derivation of the solutions represented here the reader is referred to Rogerson and Sandiford (1996), and for a more detailed examination of the basic equations see Dowaikh and Ogden

(1990).

Consider a 4-ply laminated plate which is symmetrical about its mid-plane, consists of two identical outer layers of width  $h$ , an inner core of width  $2d$  and is of infinite extent in each of the remaining spatial directions. The material of the inner core and the outer layers is that of a pre-stressed, incompressible elastic solid with principal axes of the right Cauchy-Green strain tensor assumed co-incident for each layer. An appropriate Cartesian coordinate system  $Ox_1x_2x_3$  is chosen coincident with the principal axes in the pre-stressed equilibrium state, such that  $Ox_2$  is normal to the plane of the plate,  $Ox_1$  is the direction of propagation and the origin  $O$  is at the mid-plane of the structure. A plane strain simplification of the equations of motion associated with the outer layer yields the two non-trivial equations

$$B_{1111}u_{1,11} + (B_{1122} + B_{2112})u_{2,21} + B_{2121}u_{1,22} - p_{,1}^* = \rho\ddot{u}_1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(B_{1221} + B_{2211})u_{1,12} + B_{1212}u_{2,11} + B_{2222}u_{2,22} - p_{,2}^* = \rho\ddot{u}_2, \quad (2.2)$$

in which it has been assumed  $u_3 \equiv 0$  and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are independent of  $x_3$ . Furthermore, in equations (2.1) and (2.2)  $B_{ijkl}$  are components of the appropriate fourth order elasticity tensor,  $p^*$  is a time-dependent pressure increment,  $\rho$  the density of the material and a comma indicates differentiation with respect to the implied spatial co-ordinate component in the finitely deformed equilibrium state  $B_e$ . In addition, two non-zero linearised traction increments are obtainable in the component form

$$\tau_1 = B_{2121}u_{1,2} + (B_{2112} + \bar{p})u_{2,1}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tau_2 = B_{2211}u_{1,1} + (B_{2222} + \bar{p})u_{2,2} - p^*, \quad (2.4)$$

with  $\bar{p}$  denoting a static pressure in  $B_e$ .

Solutions of the basic equations governing small amplitude motions for displacement and incremental traction in an incompressible layer, under the assumption of plane strain, may be specified using the so called propagator matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ , thus

$$\mathbf{Y}(x_2) = \mathbf{P}(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)\mathbf{Y}(\bar{x}_2), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}(x_2)$  is a vector of displacement and traction defined as  $\mathbf{Y}(x_2) = (-iU, V, \tau_1/ik, \tau_2/k)^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  is the incremental traction and  $U$  and  $V$  are eigenfunctions of the superimposed motion  $\mathbf{u}$  in the form of the travelling wave, namely  $(u_1, u_2) = (U, V)e^{kqx_2}e^{ik(x_1-vt)}$ , with  $k$  being the wave number and  $v$  the phase speed. The components of the propagator matrix are given in the appendix and  $q$  is constrained in order to yield non-trivial solutions, thus

$$\gamma q^4 + (\rho v^2 - 2\beta)q^2 + \alpha - \rho v^2 = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

within which  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are material parameters defined in terms of the components of the fourth order elasticity tensor  $B_{ijkl}$  by

$$\alpha = B_{1212}, \quad 2\beta = B_{1111} + B_{2222} - 2B_{1122} - 2B_{1221}, \quad \gamma = B_{2121}.$$

Denoting the two roots of equation (2.6) by  $q_1^2$  and  $q_2^2$  it is noted for future reference that

$$\gamma(q_1^2 + q_2^2) = 2\beta - \rho v^2, \quad \gamma q_1^2 q_2^2 = \alpha - \rho v^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Equation (2.5) therefore provides a relationship between the values of displacement and traction at an arbitrary location in a layer to the (unknown) values at some specific location  $x_2 = \bar{x}_2$  via the propagator matrix. For specified values of the material parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  the propagator matrix is a function of wave number  $k$ , phase speed  $v$  and the distance  $x_2 - \bar{x}_2 = h$ , say. For more details concerning the properties of the propagator matrix see Gilbert and Backus (1966). It is reiterated that equations (2.5) – (2.7) have been derived under a plane strain simplification, in that it is assumed that all time dependent quantities are independent of  $x_3$  and that  $u_3 \equiv 0$ . This has the consequence of reducing the subsequent boundary and continuity conditions from a linear homogeneous system of 6 equations in 6 unknowns to a system of 4 equations in 4 unknowns.

The material parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  are used to represent the material of the outer two layers and equation (2.5) is used to represent the associated solutions of displacement and traction. The corresponding parameters for the inner core are denoted by  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$ , and lead to different solutions of the governing equations. These different solutions are generally denoted by imposing an over tilde, and using  $p_m$  rather than  $q_m$ , thus the solutions for the inner core take the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}(x_2) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(x_2 - \bar{x}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}(\bar{x}_2), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \left( -i\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau}_1/ik, \tilde{\tau}_2/k \right)^T$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  is the appropriate propagator matrix, which may be deduced directly from  $\mathbf{P}$  with appropriate notational changes. Continuity conditions across the upper interface may now be expressed in the form  $\mathbf{Y}(d) = \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}(d)$ . By using equations (2.5) and (2.8) with the continuity condition it is possible to relate the solution at the upper most surface  $x_2 = h + d$  in terms of the solutions at the upper-most interface  $x_2 = d$  and then in terms of the solution at the mid-plane, thus

$$\mathbf{Y}(d + h) = \mathbf{P}(h) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(d) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}(0). \quad (2.9)$$

We first consider extensional waves for which  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  vanish at the mid-plane. Incorporating this condition with both the boundary condition of zero incremental traction on the upper surface and continuity across the perfectly bonded upper interface yields a system of

four equations in four unknowns, which will yield a non-trivial solution provided

$$P_{3i}\tilde{P}_{i1}P_{4j}\tilde{P}_{j4} - P_{3i}\tilde{P}_{i4}P_{4j}\tilde{P}_{j1} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Equation (2.10) is the dispersion relation for extensional waves in the symmetric 4-ply structure. For the corresponding derivation of the dispersion relation associated with flexural waves it is required that  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_2$  vanish on the mid-plane, implying that

$$P_{3i}\tilde{P}_{i2}P_{4j}\tilde{P}_{j3} - P_{3i}\tilde{P}_{i3}P_{4j}\tilde{P}_{j2} = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

see Rogerson and Sandiford (1996). Throughout the greater part of this paper our concern is with extensional waves. The dispersion relation associated with flexural waves is quoted to facilitate later comparison of numerical results. Inserting the definitions of the appropriate components of the two propagator matrices, and on the removal of a common factor, equation (2.10) may be stated explicitly as

$$2q_1q_2f(q_1)f(q_2)\Delta_1 + q_1f(q_2)^2\{-C_1S_2\Delta_2 + C_1C_2\Delta_3 + S_1S_2\Delta_4 + -S_1C_2\Delta_5\} \\ + q_2f(q_1)^2\{S_1C_2\Delta_2 - S_1S_2\Delta_3 - C_1C_2\Delta_4 + C_1S_2\Delta_5\} = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = p_1q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_2)\}\{f(q_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}\tilde{C}_1\tilde{S}_2 + p_2q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_2)\}\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_1)\}\tilde{S}_1\tilde{C}_2, \\ \Delta_2 = p_1p_2\{f(q_2) - f(q_1)\}\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}\tilde{C}_1\tilde{C}_2, \\ \Delta_3 = p_1q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_1)\}^2\tilde{C}_1\tilde{S}_2 - p_2q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_1)\}^2\tilde{S}_1\tilde{C}_2, \\ \Delta_4 = p_2q_1\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_2)\}^2\tilde{S}_1\tilde{C}_2 - p_1q_1\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_2)\}^2\tilde{C}_1\tilde{S}_2, \\ \Delta_5 = q_1q_2\{f(q_2) - f(q_1)\}\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}\tilde{S}_1\tilde{S}_2,$$

within which

$$f(q_m) = \gamma(1 + q_m^2) - \sigma_2, \quad \tilde{f}(p_m) = \tilde{\gamma}(1 + p_m^2) - \sigma_2, \\ S_m = \sinh kq_m h, \quad \tilde{S}_m = \sinh kp_m d, \\ C_m = \cosh kq_m h, \quad \tilde{C}_m = \cosh kp_m d,$$

and  $\sigma_2$  is the principal Cauchy stress along the  $Ox_2$  direction.

It is noted that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  ( $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ) may be either real, purely imaginary or complex conjugates. The implication is that there exists twenty five distinct cases to consider if one wishes to solve the dispersion equation numerically. However, in each case the dispersion relation remains either real or purely imaginary. This is shown most easily by considering the components of the propagator matrices  $\mathbf{P}(h)$  ( and  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(d)$  ) given in the appendix. It is easily verified that all components of  $\mathbf{P}(h)$  ( and similarly  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(d)$  ) remain real in the cases

Material	$\mathcal{C}_1$	$\mathcal{C}_2$	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\alpha$	$2\beta$	$\gamma$
1	1.2	0.3	1.0	0.866	2.0	3.5	1.5
2	1.6	0.2	1.414	0.707	4.0	5.0	1.0
3	4.5	-	1.5	1.0	4.05	5.4	1.8
4	2.2	-	2.0	0.5	3.52	1.76	0.22

Table 1: Mooney-Rivlin and Varga materials used in generating dispersion curves. Note  $\lambda_3 = (\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}$ .

when one or both of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  ( $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ) are purely imaginary. In the case when  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  ( $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ) are complex conjugates each component of  $\mathbf{P}(h)$  ( $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(d)$ ) is a quotient formed by the difference of two complex conjugates, thus ensuring that the components of  $\mathbf{P}(h)$  ( $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(d)$ ) all remain real in this case. It is also noted that the removal of a common factor from the dispersion relation (2.12) means that whilst all the components of each propagator matrix are always real, the dispersion relation as expressed in the form of equation (2.12) will either be real or purely imaginary.

### 3 Numerical results for extensional waves

The results of a numerical investigation of the dispersion relation for extensional waves (2.12) are presented here. These numerical results relate to four specific materials, two associated with the Mooney-Rivlin strain energy and two with the Varga strain energy.

#### 3.1 Mooney-Rivlin material

Two figures of dispersion curves will now be presented using material parameters for the inner core and outer layers generated from the Mooney-Rivlin strain energy function

$$W = \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 - 3) + \mathcal{C}_2(\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^2\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_3^2 - 3), \quad (3.1)$$

in which  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are material constants and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are principal stretches of the primary deformation. The two Mooney-Rivlin materials used in the inner core and outer layers are summarised, along with the corresponding values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , in table 1.

Figure 1 about here

In figure 1 a graph is presented which shows the phase speed against scaled wave number for the first twenty five branches of the dispersion relation (2.12) and is generated for a laminate formed from materials 1 and 2 (from table 1) in the outer layers and inner core,

respectively. For these material parameters the fundamental mode has a high wave number limit corresponding to a Rayleigh surface wave with speed  $v_R = 1.1823$ , associated with the outer layers, while the harmonics asymptote to a shear wave speed associated with the outer layers, denoted by  $v_{S_1}$  and termed the first shear wave speed of the outer layers. Numerically it is observed that as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  one of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  is imaginary, the other real, with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  real. (A similar asymptotic structure occurs when  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  form a complex conjugate pair.) It is further inferred from numerical analysis that if  $q_1 = i\hat{q}_1$ , then  $\hat{q}_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ . The specific value of  $v_{S_1}$  is then found by putting  $q = 0$  in equation (2.6) and is therefore given by  $\rho v_{S_1}^2 = \alpha$ . A further point to note from equation (2.6) is that for  $q_2$  to be real  $\alpha \geq 2\beta$ . This high wave number limit is to be referred to as case 1. In the low wave number limit it is only the fundamental mode which retains finite wave speed. The flattening of the dispersion curves to form a ghost line is evident, this occurring at the first shear wave speed of the inner core  $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$ , obtained by putting  $p = 0$  in the analogous appropriate form of (2.6) to yield  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_1}^2 = \tilde{\alpha}$ .

Figure 2 about here

The second plot, figure 2, is generated using materials 1 and 2 from table 1 for the inner core and outer layers, respectively. The fundamental mode and all harmonics tend to  $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$  in the high wave limit, a scenario termed case 2. Numerically therefore, in this case only one of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is real, the other imaginary, with  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  being real (or complex conjugates) in the high wave limit. Accordingly it is deduced that if  $p_1 = i\hat{p}_1$ , then  $|\hat{p}_1| \rightarrow 0$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  and  $2\tilde{\beta} > \tilde{\alpha}$ . For the material parameters and the value of  $\sigma_2$  chosen there exists a surface wave speed greater than the limiting wave speed of all the harmonics. As previously discussed by Rogerson and Sandiford (1997), this is not a valid limit for the harmonics as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  but rather causes flattening of the dispersion curves around the appropriate value of the surface wave speed  $v_R$ , forming a sharp line across the harmonics. Such a sharp flattening gives rise to surface wave-like behaviour arising from the combined effect of the higher harmonics and will be discussed in more detail in a later section.

### 3.2 Varga Material

A further set of two figures are presented here using material parameters generated from the Varga strain energy function. The Varga strain energy function takes the form

$$W = \mathcal{C}_1 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - 3), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is a shear modulus. The two Varga materials used in next figures in both the inner core and outer layers are denoted by material 3 and 4, and are summarised with the

appropriate material constants are in table 1.

Figure 3 about here

Figure 3 shows the first twenty five branches of the dispersion relation (2.12) for a laminate formed of material 3 in the outer layers and material 4 in the inner core. For these material parameters the high wave number phase speed limit of the fundamental mode and all harmonics is  $\tilde{v}_{S_2}$ , a second shear wave in the inner core. This behaviour we will refer to as case 3. In this case within the high wave number it is known that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are both imaginary, with  $|p_1| \rightarrow |p_2|$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ , whilst  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are either both real or complex conjugates. Accordingly the high wave number limit is obtained by setting the discriminant of the appropriate form of (2.6) to zero, to obtain

$$\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_2}^2 = 2\tilde{\beta} - 2\tilde{\gamma} + 2\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}}\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\gamma} - 2\tilde{\beta}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where a similar shear wave speed  $v_{S_2}$  associated with the outer layers is also noted. In figure 3 the harmonics flatten together to form ghost lines at various values of the phase speed. Two of these values are associated with the first shear wave speed values in the inner core ( $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 1.876$ ) and the outer layers ( $v_{S_1} = 2.012$ ). The third ghost line is formed around the value of  $v \approx 1.936$  and appears to be associated with the material parameters of the inner core (material 4). This ghost line is not associated with the values of the three shear wave speeds  $v_{S_1}$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_2}$  or  $v_{S_2}$  nor with the surface wave speed  $v_R$ . It has been verified numerically that there is no such flattening of the dispersion curves around this value for a single layer plate formed of the same material, and this is therefore a feature of multi-layered media. The reason for formation of such flattening around this wave speed value therefore appears complex and, as such, is left for future work. For wave speeds below the ghost line associated with  $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$  ( $= 1.876$ ) there exists oscillatory behaviour in the fundamental mode and harmonics.

Figure 4 about here

A final graph of dispersion curves for extensional waves is shown in figure 4. For this graph materials 3 and 4 from table 1 have been used for the inner core and outer layers, respectively. These parameters yield a surface wave with speed  $v_R = 1.3067$  as the high wave number limit of the fundamental mode, with the corresponding limit of the harmonics being  $v_{S_2} = 1.625$ . This behaviour corresponds to case 4 and thus numerically we have that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are both imaginary, with  $|q_1| \rightarrow |q_2|$  as  $kh \rightarrow \infty$ , whilst  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are either both real or complex conjugates. There is flattening of the dispersion curves associated with the

remaining shear wave speeds,  $v_{S_1} = 1.876$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 2.012$  and  $v \approx 1.936$ , with the sharpest flattening occurring around this last value. The harmonics exhibit oscillatory behaviour for phase speeds less than  $v_{S_1}$ , with the harmonics apparently grouping together in pairs.

## 4 An asymptotic analysis

We shall now investigate the numerical indications discussed in the previous section analytically in respect of arbitrary strain energy functions. Specifically an asymptotic analysis in both the high and low wave number regions is carried out.

### 4.1 Long wavelength limit ( $kh \rightarrow 0$ )

The long wave limit is investigated by allowing  $kh, kd \rightarrow 0$  in the dispersion relation. The numerical results obtained indicate that only the fundamental mode retains a finite wave speed in the limit, and therefore the limit  $kh, kd \rightarrow 0$  of the dispersion relation (2.12) is first taken whilst assuming that the speed of wave propagation remains finite. The leading order term of equation (2.12) in the long wave limit is given by

$$2q_1q_2f(q_1)f(q_2)\delta_1^{(0)} + q_1f(q_2)^2 \left\{ -kq_2h\delta_2^{(0)} + \delta_3^{(0)} \right\} - q_2f(q_1)^2 \left\{ kq_1h\delta_2^{(0)} - \delta_4^{(0)} \right\} + O(k^2) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

within which

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1^{(0)} &= p_1\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_2)\}\{f(q_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}kp_2d \\ &\quad + p_2\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_2)\}\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_1)\}kp_1d, \\ \delta_2^{(0)} &= p_1p_2\{f(q_2) - f(q_1)\}\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}, \\ \delta_3^{(0)} &= p_1q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_1)\}^2kp_2d - p_2q_2\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_1)\}^2kp_1d, \\ \delta_4^{(0)} &= p_2q_1\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - f(q_2)\}^2kp_1d - p_1q_1\{\tilde{f}(p_2) - f(q_2)\}^2kp_2d. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

After some algebraic manipulation equation (4.1) may be simplified to

$$d\{f(q_1) - f(q_2)\}^2\{\tilde{f}(p_1)^2 - \tilde{f}(p_2)^2\} + h\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}^2\{f(q_1)^2 - f(q_2)^2\} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

It is clear that equation (4.3) possess two common factors in each of the terms, namely  $\{\tilde{f}(p_1) - \tilde{f}(p_2)\}$  and  $\{f(q_1) - f(q_2)\}$ . Both of these factors correspond to spurious roots of the dispersion relation associated with the double roots  $p_1^2 = p_2^2$  and  $q_1^2 = q_2^2$ , respectively. These roots are spurious in the sense that they lead to non-dispersive shear wave speeds. On removing the spurious roots and making use of the appropriate forms of equation (2.7), the long wave limiting wave speed of the fundamental mode may be obtained in the form

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{2(\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma} - \sigma_2) + 2(\beta + \gamma - \sigma_2)}{\tilde{\rho}d + \rho h}}. \quad (4.4)$$

## 4.2 Surface and interfacial waves

Our numerical calculations indicated that the high wave number limiting behaviour of the dispersion relation depends on whether  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are real, imaginary or complex conjugates. If we first consider the case when  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , and  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are either purely real or complex conjugates, then in the limit  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  the dispersion relation (2.12) tends to

$$\{q_1 f(q_2)^2 - q_2 f(q_1)^2\} \left\{ \delta_2^{(\infty)} - \delta_3^{(\infty)} - \delta_4^{(\infty)} + \delta_5^{(\infty)} \right\} = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

in which a superscript  $(\infty)$  indicates that we have divided the dispersion relation by appropriate hyperbolic functions and the resultant hyperbolic tangents have been replaced with unity. It may readily be shown that these two factors yield the Rayleigh surface wave equation ( $R(v) = 0$ ) and the Stoneley interfacial wave equation ( $S(v) = 0$ ), see Dowaikh and Ogden (1990) and Dowaikh and Ogden (1991), respectively. Whether such waves exist in a particular case is dependent on the material parameters and on the Cauchy stress  $\sigma_2$  in the case of surface waves. If real solutions of both equations exist in general the fundamental mode and first harmonic will tend to one of each in order of increasing magnitude, however in the numerical section a situation was observed in which a valid surface wave speed exists but is not a high wave number limiting wave speed. This will be further discussed in a later section.

## 4.3 Short wavelength limit of the harmonics ( $kh \rightarrow \infty$ )

In general, with the possible exception of the first, all harmonics will tend to the lower of two shear wave speeds associated with the inner core and the outer layers. The value of the limiting wave speed in the outer layers will take one of two values depending on the material parameters, specifically the relative magnitudes of  $\alpha$  and  $2\beta$ . The first limiting wave speed arises when  $\alpha \leq 2\beta$  and numerically it is known that one of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  is imaginary, the other remaining real. If  $q_1 = i\hat{q}_1$  then  $|\hat{q}_1| \rightarrow 0$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ . The second case arises when  $\alpha > 2\beta$  and it is seen numerically that both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are imaginary and that  $|q_1| \rightarrow |q_2|$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ . The limiting wave speed in the outer layer may therefore be written explicitly as

$$\rho v_L^2 = \begin{cases} \rho v_{S_1}^2 = \alpha & \alpha \leq 2\beta \\ \rho v_{S_2}^2 = 2\beta - 2\gamma + 2\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{\alpha + \gamma - 2\beta} & \alpha > 2\beta \end{cases}, \quad (4.6)$$

with the corresponding limiting wave speed for the inner core given by

$$\tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_L^2 = \begin{cases} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_{S_1} = \tilde{\alpha} & \tilde{\alpha} \leq 2\tilde{\beta} \\ \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_{S_2} = 2\tilde{\beta} - 2\tilde{\gamma} + 2\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}}\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\gamma} - 2\tilde{\beta}} & \tilde{\alpha} > 2\tilde{\beta} \end{cases}. \quad (4.7)$$

In general the limiting wave speed for the outer layers (inner core) will be the minimum of  $v_{S_1}$  and  $v_{S_2}$  ( $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{S_2}$ ). However, in certain situations it is possible for  $v_{S_1}$  ( $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$ ) to be the limiting wave speed when  $v_{S_2} < v_{S_1}$  ( $\tilde{v}_{S_2} < \tilde{v}_{S_1}$ ). This point may be elucidated by considering the situation in which  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are complex conjugates, namely that  $q_1 = q_r + iq_i$  and  $q_2 = q_r - iq_i$ , where  $q_r, q_i > 0$ . Under this specialisation equation (2.7) becomes

$$2\gamma(q_r^2 - q_i^2) = 2\beta - \rho v^2, \quad \gamma(q_r^2 + q_i^2)^2 = \alpha - \rho v^2. \quad (4.8)$$

As  $q_r$  and  $q_i$  are real and positive in equation (4.8) it is inferred that the region in which  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are complex is restricted by  $\alpha > \rho v^2$  and explicit representations of  $q_r$  and  $q_i$  are deduced to be

$$q_r^2 = \frac{2\beta - \rho v^2}{4\gamma} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha - \rho v^2}{4\gamma}}, \quad q_i^2 = \frac{\rho v^2 - 2\beta}{4\gamma} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha - \rho v^2}{4\gamma}}. \quad (4.9)$$

The limit  $v \rightarrow v_{S_2}$  as  $kh \rightarrow \infty$  occurs as the discriminant of equation (2.6) vanishes. This may arise in one of two ways, the first occurs when  $q_1 \rightarrow -q_2$ , corresponding to  $q_r$  vanishing and  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  both being imaginary, whilst the second occurs when  $q_1 \rightarrow q_2$ , corresponding to  $q_r$  vanishing and  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  both being real. It should be noted that  $v_{S_2}$  will only be a valid limiting wave speed in the case when  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are both imaginary. When  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are real the *only* valid limiting wave speeds of the dispersion equation are those given by the Rayleigh surface wave equation and the Stoneley interfacial equation. If  $q_r = 0$  then from equation (4.9) we have

$$\frac{\rho v_{S_2}^2 - 2\beta}{4\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha - \rho v_{S_2}^2}{4\gamma}} > 0, \quad (4.10)$$

from which it is inferred that  $\rho v_{S_2}^2 > 2\beta$ , and on making use of the definition of  $v_{S_2}$  this condition becomes  $\alpha < 2\beta$  and the region within which  $v_{S_2}$  can lie given by  $2\beta < \rho v_{S_2}^2 < \alpha$ . If  $q_i = 0$  then from equation (4.9) we have

$$\frac{2\beta - \rho v_{S_2}^2}{4\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha - \rho v_{S_2}^2}{4\gamma}} > 0, \quad (4.11)$$

from which it is deduced that  $\alpha > 2\beta$ . It is clear from equation (4.6) that a real value for  $v_{S_2}$  will only exist if  $\alpha + \gamma > 2\beta$ . This condition is automatically satisfied in the case when  $\alpha > 2\beta$ . It is then clear that in general there are four possible wave speed limits for the harmonics as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ , the actual limit therefore being dependent on the material parameters. Each possible limit is now analysed in term.

**Case 1:  $v \rightarrow v_{S_1}$  with  $2\beta \geq \alpha$  and  $\rho v_{S_1}^2 < \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_L^2$**

In the case  $2\beta \geq \alpha$  and  $\rho v_{S_1}^2 < \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v}_L^2$  numerical calculations indicate that for all harmonics  $\rho v^2$  will in general approach  $\alpha$  from above and therefore, from equation (2.6), only one of

$q_1$  and  $q_2$  is real, the other being purely imaginary, with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  either real or complex conjugates. It is assumed, without loss of generality, that  $q_1 = i\hat{q}_1$ , where  $\hat{q}_1 \geq 0$  is real, and  $\hat{q}_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ . It is reiterated that the inequality  $2\beta \geq \alpha$  precludes  $v_{S_2}$  from being a valid limit for the harmonics even if  $v_{S_2}$  exists and  $v_{S_2} < v_{S_1}$ . Accordingly we seek to expand the dispersion relation (2.12) around the small order quantity  $\hat{q}_1$ . Using equation (2.6) an expansion for the phase speed is obtained, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\rho v^2 &= (\gamma \hat{q}_1^4 + 2\beta \hat{q}_1^2 + \alpha) (1 + \hat{q}_1^2)^{-1} \\ &= \alpha + \hat{q}_1^2 (2\beta - \alpha) + \hat{q}_1^4 (\alpha + \gamma - 2\beta) + O(\hat{q}_1^6).\end{aligned}\quad (4.12)$$

Similar expansions for  $q_2$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are then obtained using equation (4.12) with the appropriate form of equation (2.6), namely

$$q_2 = q_2^{(0)} + O(\hat{q}_1^2), \quad p_1 = p_1^{(0)} + O(\hat{q}_1^2), \quad p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + O(\hat{q}_1^2), \quad (4.13)$$

within which  $q_2^{(0)}$ ,  $p_1^{(0)}$  and  $p_2^{(0)}$  are order 1 quantities defined by

$$\begin{aligned}q_2^{(0)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2\beta - \alpha}{\gamma}}, \\ p_1^{(0)2}, p_2^{(0)2} &= \frac{1}{2\tilde{\gamma}} \left\{ 2\tilde{\beta} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}\alpha}{\rho} \pm \sqrt{\left(2\tilde{\beta} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}\alpha}{\rho}\right)^2 - 4\tilde{\gamma} \left(\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}\alpha}{\rho}\right)} \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (4.14)$$

On making use of equations (4.12)–(4.14) the associated form of the dispersion relation for extensional waves (2.12), appropriate for large  $kh, kd$ , then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) &\left\{ \left[ (\gamma - \sigma_2)^2 q_2^{(0)} \eta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \right] - \hat{q}_1^2 \left[ (\gamma (q_2^{(0)2} + 1) - \sigma_2)^2 \zeta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \right] \right\} \\ &= \hat{q}_1 \left\{ (\gamma (q_2^{(0)2} + 1) - \sigma_2)^2 \eta_1 + (\gamma - \sigma_2)^2 q_2^{(0)} \zeta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (4.15)$$

within which  $\eta_1$  and  $\zeta_1$  are order 1 quantities defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1 &= p_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma - \tilde{\gamma} (p_2^{(0)2} + 1) \right\}^2 - p_2^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma - \tilde{\gamma} (p_1^{(0)2} + 1) \right\}^2 \\ &\quad + p_1^{(0)} p_2^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} (p_2^{(0)2} - p_1^{(0)2}) \gamma \tilde{\gamma},\end{aligned}\quad (4.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_1 &= p_1^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma (q_2^{(0)2} + 1) - \tilde{\gamma} (p_2^{(0)2} + 1) \right\}^2 - q_2^{(0)3} (p_2^{(0)2} - p_1^{(0)2}) \gamma \tilde{\gamma} \\ &\quad - p_2^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma (q_2^{(0)2} + 1) - \tilde{\gamma} (p_1^{(0)2} + 1) \right\}^2.\end{aligned}\quad (4.17)$$

It is clear from equation (4.15) that the leading order term will change if  $\gamma = \sigma_2$  and we will therefore consider the two cases  $\gamma \neq \sigma_2$  and  $\gamma = \sigma_2$  separately.

(i)  $\gamma \neq \sigma_2$

In the case when  $\gamma \neq \sigma_2$  the leading order terms of equation (4.15) now yield

$$\begin{aligned}\tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) &\left\{ (\gamma - \sigma_2)^2 q_2^{(0)} \eta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \right\} \\ &= \hat{q}_1 \left\{ (\gamma (q_2^{(0)2} + 1) - \sigma_2)^2 \eta_1 + (\gamma - \sigma_2)^2 q_2^{(0)} \zeta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (4.18)$$

From equation (4.18) it is deduced that  $O(1) \tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) \sim O(\hat{q}_1)$ , implying that  $\tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\hat{q}_1 \rightarrow 0$ , and therefore

$$\hat{q}_1 = \frac{n\pi}{kh} + O(kh)^{-2}. \quad (4.19)$$

Inserting equation (4.19) into (4.12) yields the second order approximation to the phase speed of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  harmonic

$$\rho v_n^2 = \alpha + (2\beta - \alpha) \left( \frac{n\pi}{kh} \right)^2 + \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.20)$$

A higher order expansion for the phase speed is obtained by setting

$$\hat{q}_1 = \frac{n\pi}{kh} + \frac{\phi_1}{(kh)^2} + O(kh)^{-3}, \quad \tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) = \frac{\phi_1}{kh} + O(kh)^{-3}, \quad (4.21)$$

in which  $\phi_1$  is to be determined. If these two expansions are inserted into equation (4.18) and like powers of  $kh$  equated it is found that

$$\phi_1 = \left\{ \frac{\left\{ \gamma(q_2^{(0)^2} + 1) - \sigma_2 \right\}^2}{q_2^{(0)}(\gamma - \sigma_2)^2} + \frac{\zeta_1}{\eta_1} \right\} n\pi. \quad (4.22)$$

On inserting equation (4.22) into equation (4.21)<sub>1</sub>, and on making use of equation (4.12), it may be shown that

$$\rho v_n^2 = \alpha + (2\beta - \alpha) \left( \frac{n\pi}{kh} \right)^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{kh} \left\{ \frac{\left\{ \gamma(q_2^{(0)^2} + 1) - \sigma_2 \right\}^2}{q_2^{(0)}(\gamma - \sigma_2)^2} + \frac{\zeta_1}{\eta_1} \right\} \right\} + \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.23)$$

Figure 5 about here

A comparison of the asymptotic expansions obtained in equation (4.23) with numerical solutions of the dispersion relation (2.12) is presented in figure (5) for the same material parameters used in figure (1). Figure (5) indicates good agreement between the asymptotic expansions and the numerical solutions in the high wave number regime. As may be expected, the value of scaled wave number at which this good agreement is obtained increases as the the harmonic number increases, i.e. as  $n$  increases. It is noted that expansions for large  $n$  and moderate wave number have been obtained for a single plate by Rogerson (1997). Whilst in theory such expansions could be obtained for the symmetric 4-ply plate, the increased algebraic complexity makes the derivation of these difficult and time consuming to obtain without resort to a computer manipulation package.

(ii)  $\gamma = \sigma_2$

In the case in which  $\gamma = \sigma_2$  the leading order term of the dispersion relation changes and may be deduced from equation (4.15) to be

$$-\hat{q}_1^2 \tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) \{ \zeta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \} = \hat{q}_1 \{ \eta_1 + O(\hat{q}_1^2) \}, \quad (4.24)$$

from which it is readily inferred that  $O(\hat{q}_1) \tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) \sim O(1)$ , implying that  $\tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $kh \rightarrow \infty$ . Accordingly expansions for the phase speed are sought by setting

$$\hat{q}_1 = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi + \frac{\phi_1^*}{(kh)^2} + O(kh)^{-3}, \quad \tan(k\hat{q}_1 h) = -\frac{kh}{\phi_1^*} + O(kh)^{-1}, \quad (4.25)$$

where  $\phi_1^*$  is to be determined. On inserting the expansions shown in equation (4.25) into equation (4.24), and by examining leading order terms, it is found that

$$\phi_1^* = \frac{\zeta_1}{\eta_1} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi. \quad (4.26)$$

Finally, inserting equation (4.26) into (4.25)<sub>1</sub> and by making use of equation (4.12), the third order expansion of the phase speed in this case is found, namely

$$\rho v_n^2 = \alpha + (2\beta - \alpha) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{kh}\right)^2 \left\{1 + \frac{2}{kh} \frac{\zeta_1}{\eta_1}\right\} + \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.27)$$

It is interesting to note in equations (4.23) and (4.27) that  $n$  is replaced by  $(n + 1/2)$  in the second (and higher) order terms.

The asymptotic representations shown in equation (4.23) have been obtained previously for the analogous flexural wave problem in this particular case, see Rogerson and Sandiford (1997, equation 4.40). The same expansion is obtained for both the flexural and extensional dispersion relations as the two dispersion relations differ only by subtle permutation of the hyperbolic functions associated with the inner core, namely  $\tilde{C}_m \rightarrow \tilde{S}_m$  and  $\tilde{S}_m \rightarrow \tilde{C}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ). The two dispersion relations therefore have the same limiting behaviour when  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are both real or form a complex conjugate pair (i.e. when the limiting behaviour of  $\tanh kp_m d$  is well defined for large wave number). This is examined further in a later section when numerical solutions of the extensional and flexural dispersion relations are compared.

**Case 2:  $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_1}$  when  $2\tilde{\beta} \geq \tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_1}^2 < \rho v_L^2$**

By a similar argument to the previous case  $\tilde{\rho}v^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$  from above and hence only one of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is imaginary, with  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  both real or a complex conjugate pair. If  $p_1 = i\hat{p}_1$ , where  $\hat{p}_1 \geq 0$ , then as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\hat{p}_1 \rightarrow 0$  and accordingly we expand the dispersion relation (2.12) around this small quantity  $\hat{p}_1$ . The analogous forms of equation (4.13) are now given by

$$p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + O(\hat{p}_1^2), \quad q_1 = q_1^{(0)} + O(\hat{p}_1^2), \quad q_2 = q_2^{(0)} + O(\hat{p}_1^2),$$

where  $p_2^{(0)}$ ,  $q_1^{(0)}$  and  $q_2^{(0)}$  may be inferred from equation (4.14). On making use of these expansions and allowing  $kh, kd$  to be large, the dispersion relation factorises into two components, thus either

$$q_1^{(0)} f(q_2^{(0)})^2 - q_2^{(0)} f(q_1^{(0)})^2 + O(\hat{p}_1^2) = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

or

$$\tan k\hat{p}_1 d \{\zeta_2 + O(\hat{p}_1^2)\} = \hat{p}_1 \{\eta_2 + O(\hat{p}_1^2)\}, \quad (4.29)$$

where  $\eta_2$  and  $\zeta_2$  are order 1 quantities defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2 = & p_2^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} \{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma(q_1^{(0)^2} + 1)\}^2 - p_2^{(0)} q_1^{(0)} \{\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma(q_2^{(0)^2} + 1)\} \\ & - p_2^{(0)} q_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} \{q_2^{(0)^2} - q_1^{(0)^2}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2 = & q_2^{(0)} \{\tilde{\gamma}(p_2^{(0)^2} + 1) - \gamma(q_2^{(0)^2} + 1)\}^2 - q_1^{(0)} \{\tilde{\gamma}(p_2^{(0)^2} + 1) - \gamma(q_2^{(0)^2} + 1)\}^2 \\ & + p_2^{(0)^3} \{q_2^{(0)^2} - q_1^{(0)^2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Equation (4.28), to leading order, corresponds to  $R(\tilde{v}_{S_1}) = 0$  and therefore is not a valid limit except in the exceptional case  $v_R = \tilde{v}_{S_1}$ . However, in such cases in which a Rayleigh surface wave speed exists and is greater than the limiting wave speed of the harmonics a surface wave front may be formed from the combined contribution of harmonics, see later in figures (9) and (10).

From equation (4.29) it is readily deduced that  $O(1) \tan k\hat{p}_1 d \sim O(\hat{p}_1)$ , implying that  $\tan k\hat{p}_1 d \rightarrow 0$  as  $\hat{p}_1 \rightarrow 0$  in the limit  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{n\pi}{kd} + O(kd)^{-2}. \quad (4.32)$$

The second order approximation to the phase speed is obtained by inserting equation (4.32) into the appropriate form of (4.12), yielding

$$\tilde{\rho}v_n^2 = \tilde{\alpha} + (2\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha}) \left(\frac{n\pi}{kd}\right)^2 + \dots \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.33)$$

A third order approximation is then sought by setting

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{n\pi}{kd} + \frac{\phi_2}{(kd)^2} + O(kd)^{-3}, \quad \tan k\hat{p}_1 d = \frac{\phi_2}{kd} + O(kd)^{-3}, \quad (4.34)$$

where  $\phi_2$  is to be determined. On inserting equation (4.34) into equation (4.29) and comparing like powers of  $kd$  it is readily deduced that

$$\phi_2 = \frac{\eta_2}{\zeta_2} n\pi. \quad (4.35)$$

Finally inserting equation (4.35) and (4.34)<sub>1</sub> into equation the appropriate form of (4.12) gives rise to the third order expansion of the phase speed, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}v_n^2 = & \tilde{\alpha} + (2\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\alpha}) \left(\frac{n\pi}{kd}\right)^2 \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{2}{kd}\right) \frac{\eta_2}{\zeta_2} \right\} + \dots, \\ & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4.36) \end{aligned}$$

Figure 6 about here

A comparison of the numerical solutions and the asymptotic expansions is given in figure (6) which shows the asymptotic expansions generated from equation (4.36) superimposed upon the first seven harmonics of the dispersion curves shown in figure (2). The figure indicates exceptional agreement between the asymptotic and numerical solutions. It is interesting to note that the asymptotic expansions associated with the  $n$ th harmonics provides a reasonable approximation until the flattening of the dispersion curves around  $v_R$ . Above this flattening the expansions for the  $n$ th harmonics appears to follow the  $(n+1)$ th harmonic.

**Case 3:  $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_2}$  when  $2\tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_2}^2 < \rho v_L^2$**

The limit  $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_2}$  arises when the material parameters are such that  $2\tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_2}^2 < \rho v_L^2$ . Numerical calculations guide us in assuming that as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  both  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are imaginary, with  $|p_1| \rightarrow |p_2|$ , whilst  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are either real or complex conjugates. The limit  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  may therefore be examined in this case by setting

$$p_1^2 = -\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}, \quad p_2^2 = -\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}, \quad \tilde{a} > 0, \tilde{b} \geq 0, \quad (4.37)$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  are real and  $\tilde{b} \rightarrow 0$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ . The implication is that  $\tilde{\rho}v^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_2}^2$  from above and it may be easily deduced from the appropriate form of equation (2.7) that the region in which (4.37) is valid is  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_{S_2}^2 < \tilde{\rho}v^2 < \tilde{\alpha}$ . The values of  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  may be obtained explicitly from the appropriate form of equation (2.6), thus

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{\tilde{\rho}v^2 - 2\tilde{\beta}}{2\tilde{\gamma}}, \quad \tilde{b} = \frac{\sqrt{(2\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\rho}v^2)^2 - 4\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\rho}v^2)}}{2\tilde{\gamma}}. \quad (4.38)$$

If the forms of  $p_1^2$  and  $p_2^2$  shown in equation (4.37) are inserted into the appropriate form of equation (2.7) it is observed that  $\tilde{a}$  may be expanded in terms of  $\tilde{b}$  to obtain

$$\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{b}^2 + O(\tilde{b}^4), \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_0 = -1 + \tilde{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\gamma} - 2\tilde{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{a}_1 = \frac{1}{2(\tilde{a}_0 + 1)}, \quad (4.40)$$

and it is noted that the existence of a real  $\tilde{a}_0$  in equation (4.40)<sub>1</sub> is guaranteed in view of the fact  $\tilde{\alpha} > 2\tilde{\beta}$ . The high wave number limit of the dispersion relation is investigated by allowing  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  in equation (2.12) and expanding all terms around the small order quantity  $\tilde{b}$ . It is observed that in this limit the dispersion relation comprises of two factors, namely

$$q_1^{(0)} f(q_2^{(0)})^2 - q_2^{(0)} f(q_1^{(0)})^2 + O(\tilde{b}^2) = 0, \quad (4.41)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\tilde{\gamma} \left( q_2^{(0)2} - q_1^{(0)2} \right) \left( q_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} - \tilde{a}_0 \right) \tilde{b}C(\tilde{a}_0) - \left\{ \sqrt{\tilde{a}_0} \chi^{(1)} - \frac{\chi^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2\tilde{a}_0}} \right\} \tilde{b}S(\tilde{a}_0) \\ = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_0} \chi^{(0)} S(\tilde{b}) - \gamma\tilde{\gamma} \left( q_2^{(0)2} - q_1^{(0)2} \right) \left( q_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} + \tilde{a}_0 \right) \tilde{b}C(\tilde{b}) + O(\tilde{b}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

within which

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(0)} &= q_2^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma(q_1^{(0)2} + 1) + \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{a}_0 - 1) \right\}^2 - q_1^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma(q_2^{(0)2} + 1) + \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{a}_0 - 1) \right\}^2, \\ \chi^{(1)} &= 2\tilde{\gamma} \left( q_2^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma(q_1^{(0)2} + 1) + \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{a}_0 - 1) \right\} - q_1^{(0)} \left\{ \gamma(q_2^{(0)2} + 1) + \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{a}_0 - 1) \right\} \right), \\ \chi^{(2)} &= \tilde{a}_1 \chi^{(1)} + \tilde{\gamma}^2 (q_2^{(0)} - q_1^{(0)}), \end{aligned}$$

$C(\tilde{a}_0)$ ,  $S(\tilde{a}_0)$ ,  $C(\tilde{b})$  and  $S(\tilde{b})$  are trigonometric terms defined as

$$C(\tilde{a}_0) = \cos 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}kd, \quad S(\tilde{a}_0) = \sin 2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}kd, \quad C(\tilde{b}) = \cos \left( \frac{\tilde{b}kd}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}} \right), \quad S(\tilde{b}) = \sin \left( \frac{\tilde{b}kd}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}} \right),$$

and  $q_1^{(0)}$  and  $q_2^{(0)}$  are order 1 terms which may be found by setting  $v = \tilde{v}_{S_2}$  in equation (2.6). To leading order, equation (4.41) corresponds to  $R(\tilde{v}_{S_2}) = 0$  and is therefore only a valid solution in the exceptional case in which  $v_R = \tilde{v}_{S_2}$ . It is observed from equation (4.42) that  $O(\tilde{b}) \sim O(1)S(\tilde{b})$ , implying that  $S(\tilde{b}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tilde{b} \rightarrow 0$ , and therefore

$$\tilde{b} = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_0} \frac{n\pi}{kd} + O(kd)^{-2}. \quad (4.43)$$

On inserting equation (4.43) into equation (4.38)<sub>1</sub>, and making use of equation (4.39), it is deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}v_n^2 = 2\tilde{\beta} - 2\tilde{\gamma} + 2\tilde{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\gamma} - 2\tilde{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{n\pi}{kd} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{a}_0}{\tilde{a}_0 + 1} \right\} + \dots, \\ n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

A higher order expansion for the phase speed is obtained by setting

$$\tilde{b} = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_0} \frac{n\pi}{kd} + \frac{\phi_3}{(kd)^2} + O(kd)^{-3}, \quad (4.45)$$

from which it is inferred that

$$\sin \left( \frac{\tilde{b}kd}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}} \right) = (-1)^n \frac{\phi_3}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}kd} + O(kd)^{-3}, \quad \cos \left( \frac{\tilde{b}kd}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}} \right) = (-1)^n + O(kd)^{-2}, \quad (4.46)$$

where  $\phi_3$  is to be determined. On making use of equations (4.45) and (4.46) in equation (4.42), and comparing leading order terms, it is deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3 = (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}}{2\chi^{(0)}} \left\{ \sqrt{\tilde{a}_0} \left( \frac{\chi^{(0)}}{\tilde{a}_0} - 2\chi^{(1)} \right) S(\tilde{a}_0) + \right. \\ \left. 2\gamma\tilde{\gamma} \left( q_2^{(0)2} - q_1^{(0)2} \right) \left\{ (q_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)} - \tilde{a}_0)C(\tilde{a}_0) - (-1)^n (\tilde{a}_0 + q_1^{(0)} q_2^{(0)}) \right\} \right\} n\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

The expansion for the phase speed is then obtained to third order by making use of equation (4.46), in conjunction with equations (4.45), (4.38)<sub>1</sub> and (4.40), thus

$$\tilde{\rho}v_n^2 = 2\tilde{\beta} - 2\tilde{\gamma} + 2\tilde{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\gamma} - 2\tilde{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{n\pi}{kd} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\tilde{a}_0 + 1} \right\} \left\{ \tilde{a}_0 + \frac{2\sqrt{\tilde{a}_0}\hat{\phi}_3}{kd} \right\} + \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (4.48)$$

and within which  $\hat{\phi}_3 = \phi_3/n\pi$ .

Figure 7 about here

The asymptotic expansions obtained in equation (4.48) are superimposed on numerical solutions in the next figure. The material parameters are taken from figure (3) as this affords a situation in which the limiting wave speed for the harmonics is  $\tilde{v}_{S_2}$ . Figure (7) shows that the oscillatory behaviour of the harmonics in this limit are fully described by the trigonometric functions within the third order term of the asymptotic expansions (4.44). These third order expansions provide reasonable agreement with the numerical solutions even at a relatively low  $kh$  value.

**Case 4:  $v \rightarrow v_{S_2}$  when  $2\beta < \alpha$  and  $\rho v_{S_2}^2 < \tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_L^2$**

The final possible limiting wave speed arises when  $\alpha > 2\beta$  and  $\rho v_{S_2}^2 < \tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}_L^2$ . Numerically it is known that there exists an analogous situation to that in the preceding section, in that both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are imaginary and  $|q_1| \rightarrow |q_2|$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ , whilst  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are either both real or complex conjugates. The limit  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$  is therefore examined by setting

$$q_1^2 = -a + b, \quad q_2^2 = -a - b, \quad a > 0, b \geq 0, \quad (4.49)$$

where

$$a = \frac{\rho v^2 - 2\beta}{2\gamma}, \quad b = \frac{\sqrt{(2\beta - \rho v^2)^2 - 4\gamma(\alpha - \rho v^2)}}{2\gamma}, \quad (4.50)$$

and  $b \rightarrow 0$  in the high wave number limit. Using equation (2.7) with equation (4.49) gives the analogous form of equations (4.39) and (4.40), namely

$$a = a_0 + a_1 b^2 + O(b^4), \quad (4.51)$$

where

$$a_0 = -1 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha + \gamma - 2\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2(a_0 + 1)}. \quad (4.52)$$

On making use of the expansions shown in equations (4.49) and (4.51) it is deduced that for high wave number equation (2.12) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\hat{q}_1\hat{q}_2f(q_1)f(q_2)\Delta_1 + \{\hat{q}_1f(q_2)^2 - \hat{q}_2f(q_1)^2\} \times \\
& \{(\Delta_5 - \Delta_2) \sin \{2(\sqrt{a_0} + \xi b^2)kh\} + (\Delta_3 + \Delta_4) \cos \{2(\sqrt{a_0} + \xi b^2)kh\}\} \\
& = \{\hat{q}_1f(q_2)^2 + \hat{q}_2f(q_1)^2\} \{(\Delta_2 + \Delta_5)S(b) + (\Delta_4 - \Delta_3)C(b)\} + O(b^3), \quad (4.53)
\end{aligned}$$

within which  $\hat{q}_1$  and  $\hat{q}_2$  are approximated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{q}_1 &= \sqrt{a_0} - \frac{b}{2\sqrt{a_0}} + \xi b^2 + O(b^3), \\
\hat{q}_2 &= \sqrt{a_0} + \frac{b}{2\sqrt{a_0}} + \xi b^2 + O(b^3), \quad (4.54)
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\xi = (4a_0a_1 - 1)/8a_0^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . It will be seen subsequently that the leading order term of equation (4.53) vanishes in the limit, thus necessitating the inclusion of  $O(b^2)$  terms in all expansions of the components of the dispersion relation. From equation (4.50)<sub>1</sub> and (4.51) we have

$$\rho v^2 = 2\beta + 2\gamma(a_0 + a_1b^2) + O(b^4). \quad (4.55)$$

On inserting equation (4.55) into the appropriate form of equation (2.6), and after a little algebraic manipulation, expansions for  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are obtained, namely

$$p_1 = p_1^{(0)} + p_1^{(2)}b^2 + O(b^4), \quad p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + p_2^{(2)}b^2 + O(b^4), \quad (4.56)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1^{(0)} &= \left( \frac{\lambda_0 + \mu_0}{2\tilde{\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & p_1^{(2)} &= \frac{\mu_2/2\mu_0 - 2\gamma a_1\tilde{\rho}/\rho}{2(2\tilde{\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda_0 + \mu_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\
p_2^{(0)} &= \left( \frac{\lambda_0 - \mu_0}{2\tilde{\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & p_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{\mu_2/2\mu_0 + 2\gamma a_1\tilde{\rho}/\rho}{2(2\tilde{\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda_0 - \mu_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

and within which

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_0 &= 2\tilde{\beta} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho}(2\beta + 2\gamma a_0), \\
\mu_0 &= \left\{ 4(\tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}) + (2\tilde{\beta} - \lambda_0)^2 + 4(\tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\beta})(2\tilde{\beta} - \lambda_0) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\mu_2 &= 4\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho}\gamma a_1(2\tilde{\gamma} - \lambda_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar expansions for  $f(q)$  and  $\tilde{f}(p)$  are obtainable by making use of equations (4.54) and (4.56), namely

$$\begin{aligned}
f(q_1), f(q_2) &= f^{(0)} \pm f^{(1)}b + f^{(2)}b^2 + O(b^3), \\
\tilde{f}(p_1) &= \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} + \tilde{f}_1^{(2)}b^2 + O(b^4), & \tilde{f}(p_2) &= \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} + \tilde{f}_2^{(2)}b^2 + O(b^4), \quad (4.57)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)} &= \gamma(1 - a_0) - \sigma_2, & f^{(1)} &= \gamma, & f^{(2)} &= -\gamma a_1, \\ \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} &= \tilde{\gamma} + \frac{\lambda_0 + \mu_0}{2} - \sigma_2, & \tilde{f}_1^{(2)} &= \frac{\mu_2}{4\mu_0} - \gamma a_1 \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho}, \\ \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} &= \tilde{\gamma} + \frac{\lambda_0 - \mu_0}{2} - \sigma_2, & \tilde{f}_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{\mu_2}{4\mu_0} - \gamma a_1 \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (4.54), (4.56) and (4.57), in conjunction with equation (4.53) the dispersion relation in the high wave number region may be cast in the form

$$\mathcal{A}_1 + (\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_3 C(a_0) - \mathcal{A}_4 S(a_0)) b^2 = (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_5 b^2) C(b) + \mathcal{A}_6 b S(b) + O(b^3), \quad (4.58)$$

where  $C(a_0)$ ,  $S(a_0)$ ,  $C(b)$  and  $S(b)$  are trigonometric terms which may be inferred from the definitions given directly after equation (4.42), and  $\mathcal{A}_m$  are order 1 quantities defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= a_0 \Delta_1^{(0)} f^{(0)2}, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \left( 2\sqrt{a_0} \xi - \frac{1}{4a_0} \right) \Delta_1^{(0)} f^{(0)2} + a_0 \Delta_1^{(2)} f^{(0)2} + a_0 \Delta_1^{(0)} \left( 2f^{(0)} f^{(2)} - f^{(1)2} \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_3 &= \left\{ 2\sqrt{a_0} f^{(0)} f^{(1)} + \frac{f^{(0)2}}{2\sqrt{a_0}} \right\} \left\{ \sqrt{a_0} \Delta_2^{(1)} - \frac{\Delta_1^{(0)}}{2\sqrt{a_0}} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_4 &= \left\{ 2\sqrt{a_0} f^{(0)} f^{(1)} + \frac{f^{(0)2}}{2\sqrt{a_0}} \right\} \left\{ \left( p_1^{(0)} p_2^{(0)} - a_0 \right) \left( \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} \right) f^{(1)} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_5 &= 2\sqrt{a_0} \Delta_1^{(0)} f^{(0)2} \xi - a_0 \Delta_2^{(2)} f^{(0)2} - \frac{\Delta_3^{(1)} f^{(0)2}}{2} \\ &\quad + \Delta_1^{(0)} \left\{ a_0 f^{(1)2} + 2a_0 f^{(0)} f^{(1)} + f^{(0)} f^{(1)} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_6 &= \sqrt{a_0} f^{(0)2} f^{(1)} \left( a_0 + p_1^{(0)} p_2^{(0)} \right) \left( \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Within equation (4.59)  $\Delta_i^{(m)}$  represents the coefficients of  $b^m$  in  $\Delta_i$ , which may be obtained by inserting the expansions given in equations (4.54) and (4.56)–(4.57) into equation (2.13), dividing throughout by  $C_1 C_2 \tilde{C}_1 \tilde{C}_2$ , and replacing the resulting hyperbolic tangents with unity, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^{(0)} &= p_2^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} \right)^2 - p_1^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} \right)^2, \\ \Delta_1^{(2)} &= 2p_1^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} \right) \left( \tilde{f}_2^{(2)} - f^{(2)} \right) + 2p_2^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} \right) \left( f^{(2)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(2)} \right) \\ &\quad + f^{(1)2} \left( p_1^{(0)} - p_2^{(0)} \right) + p_2^{(2)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} \right)^2 - p_1^{(2)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_2^{(2)} \right)^2, \\ \Delta_2^{(1)} &= 2f^{(1)} \left\{ p_1^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_2^{(0)} \right) - p_2^{(0)} \left( f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_1^{(0)} \right) \right\}, \\ \Delta_2^{(2)} &= 2f^{(1)2} \left( p_1^{(0)} - p_2^{(0)} \right) - \Delta_1^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

It is readily deduced from equation (4.58) that to leading order

$$\left( 1 - \cos \frac{bkh}{\sqrt{a_0}} \right) \sim O(b) \sin \frac{bkh}{\sqrt{a_0}}, \quad (4.61)$$

thus implying that  $\cos\left(\frac{bkh}{\sqrt{a_0}}\right) \rightarrow 1$  as  $kh, kd \rightarrow \infty$ , and therefore

$$b = 2\sqrt{a_0}\frac{n\pi}{kh} + O(kh)^{-2}. \quad (4.62)$$

A second order approximation to the phase speed may be found by inserting equation (4.62) into equation (4.55), to obtain

$$\rho v_n^2 = 2\beta - 2\gamma + 2\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha + \gamma - 2\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\frac{\gamma a_0}{a_0 + 1}\right\} \left(\frac{n\pi}{kh}\right)^2 + \dots. \quad (4.63)$$

We then seek a higher order expansion by setting

$$b = 2\sqrt{a_0}\frac{n\pi}{kh} + \frac{\phi_4}{(kh)^2} + O(kh)^{-3}. \quad (4.64)$$

from which it is deduced that

$$\sin\left(\frac{bkh}{\sqrt{a_0}}\right) = \frac{\phi_4}{\sqrt{a_0}kh} + O(kh)^{-3}, \quad \cos\left(\frac{bkh}{\sqrt{a_0}}\right) = 1 - \frac{\phi_4^2}{2a_0(kh)^2} + O(kh)^{-4}, \quad (4.65)$$

where  $\phi_4$  is to be determined and it is noted that it is now necessary to include an  $O(kh)^{-2}$  term in the expansion (4.65)<sub>2</sub> due to the vanishing of the leading order term prior to the derivation of equation (4.58). Inserting equations (4.64) and (4.65) into equation (4.58) and comparing like powers of  $kh$  reveals that the equation is identically zero at leading order, the next order yielding the following quadratic equation for  $\phi_4$

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{2a_0}\phi_4^2 - 2\mathcal{A}_6n\pi\phi_4 + 4a_0n^2\pi^2\{\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_5 - \mathcal{A}_3C(a_0) - \mathcal{A}_4S(a_0)\} = 0. \quad (4.66)$$

It is interesting to note that in this case a quadratic equation for  $\phi_4$  is obtained, whilst in the previous three cases a single value for  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_3$  was obtained. However, it has been verified numerically that for particular values of  $n$  the two solutions indicated in equation (4.66) correspond to two distinct branches of the dispersion relation. This is, perhaps, not too surprising as we have seen in figure (4) that the harmonics group together to form distinct pairs in the high wave number region. Indeed the second order approximation to the phase speed in equation (4.63) gives asymptotic solutions which pass between adjacent pairs of harmonics, thus in order to obtain accurate asymptotic solutions the expansion *must* be taken to at least third order. Moreover in the first two cases a reasonable approximation may be found from the second order expansion and although the second order expansion in the third case cannot describe the oscillatory behaviour at least an approximation for each harmonics is obtained. Equation (4.66) may therefore be used, in conjunction with equations (4.64) and (4.50)<sub>1</sub>, to obtain

$$\rho v_n^2 = \begin{cases} \rho v_{S_2}^2 + \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{kh}\right)^2 \left\{\frac{4\gamma}{a_0+1}\right\} \left\{a_0 + \frac{\sqrt{a_0}\hat{\phi}_4^-}{kh}\right\} + \dots & n \text{ odd} \\ \rho v_{S_2}^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{2kh}\right)^2 \left\{\frac{4\gamma}{a_0+1}\right\} \left\{a_0 + \frac{\sqrt{a_0}\hat{\phi}_4^+}{kh}\right\} + \dots & n \text{ even} \end{cases}, \quad (4.67)$$

where  $\hat{\phi}_4 = \phi_4/n\pi$ ,  $\phi_4^+$  and  $\phi_4^-$  representing solutions of equation (4.66), indicating the positive and negative square root associated with the discriminant, respectively. It is worth noting that the asymptotic expansions indicated in equation (4.67) also arise in the analogous flexural wave expansions, see Rogerson and Sandiford (1997, equation 4.68). This arises for the same reason as that given in case 1.

Figure 8 about here

The asymptotic expansions obtained in equation (4.67) are superimposed upon numerical solutions in figure (8). The material parameters used have been taken from figure (4). The asymptotic expansions again give good agreement with the numerical solutions and describe the oscillatory behaviour of the harmonics in the moderate and high wave number regions.

## 5 Surface wave-like behaviour of the higher harmonics

The possibility of surface wave-like behaviour arising from the combined contribution of higher harmonics has been indicated from the numerical results obtained in figure 2. Such a possibility will arise when the high wave number limit of the harmonics is associated with the inner core and a real value of the surface wave speed exists such that  $v_R > \tilde{v}_L$ . This is investigated further here by examining the eigenfunctions  $U$  and  $V$  as the wave speed of a particular harmonic in such a situation passes through the surface wave speed value. For any root of the dispersion relation it is possible to use the homogeneous boundary and continuity conditions to obtain expressions for  $U$  and  $V$  in terms of an arbitrary constant. From these solutions, the variation of displacement throughout the laminate may be obtained.

Figures 9 and 10 about here.

Figures (9) and (10) show the normalised in-plane ( $\hat{U}$ ) and out-plane ( $\hat{V}$ ) displacements associated with the fourth harmonic of figure (2) as it passes through the surface wave speed value at various values of scaled wave number. The width of the laminate has been scaled so that the upper and lower surfaces are at  $x_2 = \pm 2$  and the interfaces are at  $x_2 = \pm 1$ , thus representing all cases in which the ratio of ply thickness is such  $d/h = 1$ . Four values of scaled wave number have been chosen in order to show the behaviour of the in-plane and out-plane displacements. Due to the extremely sharp flattening of the dispersion curves around  $v_R$  in figure (2), the increment between successive values of  $kh$  is small. For the first value used,  $kh = 12.080$ , there is no clear localisation of displacement at any point within the laminate, with the displacement at each surface small in relation to that in the inner core. The nature of the displacement is clearly sinusoidal. When the wave number is

increased slightly to  $kh = 12.083$ , the graph changes significantly, in that the displacement is clearly localised at each surface, with only small sinusoidal variation in the inner core. This sinusoidal variation decreases further as the wave number is increased to  $kh = 12.085$ . The displacement in the outer layers ( $x_2 \leq -1$  and  $x_2 \geq 1$ ) is indistinguishable from that obtained using the previous value of  $kh$ , with the associated curves overlapping in this region. The final value of  $kh$  exhibits classic surface wave behaviour, with strong localisation of displacement at each free surface and no discernible displacement in the inner core. This suggests that a surface wave front will indeed be formed from the combined effects of the harmonics as they pass through the value of  $v_R$ . In addition, the nature of the displacement associated with a particular harmonic can change dramatically for small changes in the wave number (e.g. as small as 0.003 in figures (9) and (10)). It is worth noting that for the four values of  $kh$  used here the wave speed in each case varies only at the fifth decimal place.

## 6 Comparison of flexural and extensional wave results

This paper is concluded with a short section presenting some closing remarks on the results obtained numerically and analytically for the two dispersion relations associated with flexural and extensional waves. The close similarity of the two dispersion relations, as is to be expected, gives rise to similar solutions which differ in small but significant ways. A cursory comparison of the extensional dispersion relation (2.12) with the appropriate flexural dispersion relation, see Rogerson and Sandiford (1997, equation 3.18), reveals that they only differ in a subtle permutation of the hyperbolic terms associated with the inner core, namely that  $\tilde{C}_m \leftrightarrow \tilde{S}_m$ . This has the effect that the two dispersion relations will act identically in the high wave regime when  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are real or complex conjugates (i.e. when the limiting behaviour of  $\tanh kp_m d$  is well defined). This situation arises in the asymptotic expansions for the high wave number limit of the dispersion relations in cases 1 and 4 ( $v \rightarrow v_{S_1}$  and  $v \rightarrow v_{S_2}$ ). Conversely, one should expect the two dispersion relations to behave differently when this is not the case. This is examined in figures (11)–(14), which present plots of the numerical solutions for both flexural and extensional waves superimposed upon one another for each of the four cases outlined in the asymptotic expansions for the high wave limit.

Figure 11 about here

The dispersion curves for case 1 ( $v \rightarrow v_{S_1}$ ) are shown in figure (11), and are generated using the material parameters from figure (1). The first fifteen branches from each of the flexural and extensional modes is shown. It appears that in this case the flexural and extensional modes merge together below a certain value of the phase speed. This behaviour

differs significantly than that observed for a single layer plate in the same limit ( $v \rightarrow v_{S_1}$ ), in which the flexural and extensional modes interlace and do not coalesce, see Rogerson (1997). For high  $kh$  this threshold value corresponds to the shear wave speed in the inner core,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 2.0$ . Below this value  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  take on real values and hence, as the limiting behaviour of  $\tanh kp_m d$  is well defined, the two dispersion relations behave similarly. Above the threshold value one of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is imaginary, the other real, and the difference in the two dispersion relations between flexural and extensional modes plays a significant part due to the existence of trigonometrical terms.

Figure 12 about here

Figure (12) shows numerical solutions for case 2 ( $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_1}$ ) generated using the parameters in figure (2). The first fifteen branches from each figure are used. From the figure it is clear that the flexural and extensional modes alternate, with the fundamental mode from the flexural solutions having lowest wave speed. This situation mirrors the behaviour of flexural and extensional modes in the single plate problem, see Rogerson (1997). Only the fundamental modes retain finite wave speed as  $kh \rightarrow 0$  and all harmonics asymptote to the shear wave speed  $v_{S_1}$ .

Figure 13 about here

Case 3,  $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_2}$  is presented in the next plot. Figure (13) shows the first fifteen branches of the flexural and extensional dispersion relations generated from the parameters used in figure (3). The behaviour of the flexural and extensional modes is similar to that in figure (11) for phase speed greater than  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 1.876$ , in that the flexural and extensional modes alternate. However, below this value of the phase speed, while the mode still alternate, it is evident that the extensional and flexural modes associated with a particular harmonic number cross each other due to the sinusoidal variation. It is interesting to note that for each of the ghost lines associated with the shear wave speeds  $v_{S_1}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{S_1}$  the harmonics associated with the flexural solutions asymptote to the appropriate value quicker than the extensional harmonics.

Figure 14 about here

The final case ( $v \rightarrow v_{S_2}$ ) is compared in figure (14), which is generated from the material parameters used in figure (4). The behaviour of the two dispersion curves for flexural and extensional waves is more complicated in this case. For phase speed higher  $v = v_{S_1}$  ( $= 2.012$ ) the flexural and extensional modes alternate. Below this value the branches associated with

flexural and extensional modes begin to coalesce, with all branches having done so at a low wave number. This is to be expected as within this region  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  take on complex conjugate values below  $v = 2.012$  and thus the difference between the dispersion relations is negligible for high wave number.

## Appendix

For the distance  $x_2 - \bar{x}_2 = h$ , say, the components of the propagator matrix  $\mathbf{P}(h)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{11} &= q_1 q_2 \{f(q_2)C_2 - f(q_1)C_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{12} &= q_1 q_2 \{q_1 f(q_2)S_1 - q_2 f(q_1)S_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{13} &= q_1 q_2 \{q_2 S_2 - q_1 S_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{14} &= q_1 q_2 \{C_1 - C_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{21} &= \{q_1 f(q_2)S_2 - q_2 f(q_1)S_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{22} &= q_1 q_2 \{f(q_2)C_1 - f(q_1)C_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{23} &= -P_{14}, & P_{24} &= \{q_2 S_1 - q_1 S_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{31} &= \{q_1 f(q_2)^2 S_2 - q_2 f(q_1)^2 S_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{32} &= q_1 q_2 f(q_1) f(q_2) \{C_1 - C_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{33} &= P_{11}, & P_{34} &= \{q_2 f(q_1)S_1 - q_1 f(q_2)S_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{41} &= q_1 q_2 f(q_1) f(q_2) \{C_2 - C_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{42} &= q_1 q_2 \{q_1 f(q_2)^2 S_1 - q_2 f(q_1)^2 S_2\} \mu^{-1}, \\
P_{43} &= q_1 q_2 \{q_2 f(q_1)S_2 - q_1 f(q_2)S_1\} \mu^{-1}, & P_{44} &= P_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $S_m = \sinh(kq_m h)$  and  $C_m = \cosh(kq_m h)$ .

## References

- M. A. Dowaiikh and R. W. Ogden. On surface waves and deformations in a pre-stressed incompressible elastic solid. *IMA J. of Appl. Math.*, 44:261–284, 1990.
- M. A. Dowaiikh and R. W. Ogden. Interfacial waves and deformations in pre-stressed elastic media. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A.*, 433:313–328, 1991.
- J. N. Flavin. Surface waves in pre-stressed Mooney material. *Q. Jl Mech appl. Mech*, 16: 441–449, 1963.
- F. Gilbert and G. E. Backus. Propagator matrices in elastic wave and vibration problems. *Geophysics*, 31:326–332, 1966.
- M. A. Hayes and R. S. Rivlin. Surface waves in deformed elastic materials. *Arch. ration. mech. Anal.*, 8:358–380, 1961.
- R. W. Ogden and D. G. Roxburgh. The effect of pre-stress on the vibration and stability of elastic plates. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, 30:1611–1639, 1993.

- G. A. Rogerson. Some asymptotic expansions of the dispersion relation for an incompressible elastic plate. *Int. J. Solids Structures*, 34(22):2785–2802, 1997.
- G. A. Rogerson and Y. B. Fu. An asymptotic analysis of the dispersion relation of a pre-stressed incompressible elastic plate. *Acta Mechanica*, 111:59–77, 1995.
- G. A. Rogerson and K. J. Sandiford. On small amplitude vibrations of pre-stressed laminates. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, 34(8):853–872, 1996.
- G. A. Rogerson and K. J. Sandiford. Flexural waves in incompressible pre-stressed elastic composites. *Q. Jl Mech. appl. Math.*, 50(4):597–624, 1997.

*v*

*kh*

Figure 1

$v$

$kh$

Figure 2

$v$

$kh$

Figure 3

$v$

$kh$

Figure 4

$v$

$kh$

Figure 5

$v$

$kh$

Figure 6

$v$

$kh$

Figure 7

$v$

$kh$

Figure 8

$v$

$kh$

Figure 9

$v$

$kh$

Figure 10

$v$

$kh$

Figure 11

$v$

$kh$

Figure 12

$v$

$kh$

Figure 13

*v*

*kh*

Figure 14

**Figure 1:**Phase speed against scaled wave number for Mooney-Rivlin materials 1 and 2 from table (1) in the outer layers and inner core, respectively, and  $\sigma_2 = 2.5$ , with  $v_R = 1.1823$ , no real  $v_I$ ,  $v_{S_1} = 1.414$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 2.0$ , no real  $v_{S_2}$  and  $\tilde{v}_{S_2} = 1.732$ .

**Figure 2:**Phase speed against scaled wave number for Mooney-Rivlin materials, 2 and 1 from table (1) in the outer layers and inner core, respectively, and  $\sigma_2 = 1.8$ , with  $v_R = 1.8378$ , no real  $v_I$ ,  $v_{S_1} = 2.0$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 1.414$ ,  $v_{S_2} = 1.732$  and no real  $\tilde{v}_{S_2}$ .

**Figure 3:**Phase speed against scaled wave number for Varga materials 3 and 4 from table (1) in the outer layers and inner core, respectively, and  $\sigma_2 = 1.0$ , with  $v_R = 2.0068$ , no real  $v_I$ ,  $v_{S_1} = 2.012$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 1.876$ ,  $v_{S_2} = 1.897$  and  $\tilde{v}_{S_2} = 1.625$ .

**Figure 4:**Phase speed against scaled wave number for Varga materials 4 and 3 from table (1) in the outer layers and inner core, respectively, and  $\sigma_2 = 0.5$ , with  $v_R = 1.3067$ , no real  $v_I$ ,  $v_{S_1} = 1.876$ ,  $v_{S_2} = 1.625$ ,  $\tilde{v}_{S_1} = 2.012$  and  $\tilde{v}_{S_2} = 1.732$ .

**Figure 5:**Comparison of numerical solutions with asymptotic expansions obtained for case 1, see equation (4.23). The same material parameters from figure (1) are used.

**Figure 6:**Comparison of numerical solutions with asymptotic expansions obtained for case 2, see equation (4.36). The same material parameters from figure (2) are used.

**Figure 7:**Comparison of numerical solutions with asymptotic expansions obtained for case 3, see equation (4.48). The same material parameters from figure (3) are used.

**Figure 8:**Comparison of numerical solutions with asymptotic expansions obtained for case 4, see equation (4.67). The same material parameters from figure (4) are used.

**Figure 9:**Scaled eigenfunction  $\hat{U}$  against depth of the laminate for the fourth harmonic of figure (2), showing the change from sinusoidal displacement to surface wave-like behaviour as the phase speed passes through  $v_R$ .

**Figure 10:**Scaled eigenfunction  $\hat{V}$  against depth of the laminate for the fourth harmonic of figure (2), showing the change from sinusoidal displacement to surface wave-like behaviour as the phase speed passes through  $v_R$ .

**Figure 11:**Comparison of flexural and extensional solutions for case 1: $v \rightarrow v_{S_1}$ .

**Figure 12:**Comparison of flexural and extensional solutions for case 2: $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_1}$ .

**Figure 13:**Comparison of flexural and extensional solutions for case 3: $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{S_2}$ .

**Figure 14:**Comparison of flexural and extensional solutions for case 4: $v \rightarrow v_{S_2}$ .

